RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JUL 1 9 2005

FAX COVER SHEET

Tox USPTO	Date: 07/19/05
Exr. J.E. Chapman Artunit 3635	
From: Werner H. Schroede	3
Patent Agent Reg. No. 36, 387	: r
	·
Number of pages including cover sheet:	
Rejection	
Dan & Gelmeden	• ,

PTO/88/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2009, OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Application Number 1979 Under the Programme Restriction Act of 1965, no nematic Filing Date 11/12/2003 TRANSMITTAL First Named Inventor Philip J. Solotino FORM Art Unit Examiner Name Jespette E. Chesiman (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Drawing(s) Fee Transmittal Form Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Fee Attached Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brist, Reply Brief) Petition Amendment/Reply Pelition to Convert to a Proprietary Information After Final Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Affidevits/declaration(s) Other Endosure(s) (please Identify Temminal Disclaimer below); Extension of Time Request Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landecape Table on CD Remeries **Certified Copy of Priority** Document(s) Reply to Missing Perts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parks under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Nome Signature Printed manu Werner H. Schroeder Reg. No. 38,397 07/19/2005 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facelmile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mell in an envelope accisesed to: Commissioner for Petents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Slonature Date 07/19/05 FAX Werner H. Schroeder Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a banefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This objection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer. U.S. Patent and Tradement Office, U.S. Department of Commence, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Myou need exeletence in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Response to a third Office Action

Application No. 10/705,673

This communication is a response to a third Office Action and a second non-final rejection. The Office Action has a mailing date of 07/13/2005 and sets forth a shortened statutory for response of three months which would expire on 10/13/2005.

Remarks

Claims 10 ~ 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Eaton (6,765,001) in view of Damlos et al (6,092,350) and Waterman (3,276,947). The examiner states that "Eaton discloses a corrugated wall (floor) having corrugations therein." The examiner is incorrect in holding the floor construction of Eaton to be the equivalent of a wall. The floor of Eaton is constructed in piece by piece and once it is finished it cannot be erected on edge to act like a wall. This is simply not possible, the examiner further states:"

- 1. a fabric material 140 located on one side of the wall
- 2. cementitious material layer 130 located on the interior of the fabric material
- 3. a rigid longitudinal structural element 122 located along edges of the wall."
- As to 1. There is no fabric material located on one side of wall of Eaton. What the examiner identifies as the fabric 140 in reality is a grid system consisting of a mesh of metal rods identified as steel. This does not constitute a fabric identified by applicant as cloth layers of woven or non-woven fabric. The examiner further states that "the fabric 140 is located on one side of the wall". Applicant claims a fabric material located on both sides of the wall as in a sandwich construction.
- As to 2. The examiner states that "the cementitious material 130 is located on the interior of the fabric material. This is a wrong interpretation of the Eaton structure. Once the concrete in Eaton is poured to construct the floor, the metal grid 130